



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	FILING DATE	FIRST NAM	ED APPLICANT	I A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
07/422,613	10/17/89	KUBERASAMPATH	et al	•	CRP042
-			٦	EX	AMINER
				NNutter	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				153	6
	•			DATE MAILED:	
		EXAMINER INTERVIEW SU	MMARY RECO	ORD	
	ant, applicant's represent				
1) Robin K	Celley Cattor	ney) (3)	Nathan	Nutter (exanner)
2) Edmund	Pitcher Lat	torney) (4)			
		•			
late of interview	7 June 1990				
				*	
	•	given to □ applicant 🕱 applica □ Yes 😘 No. If yes, brief desc			
xhibit shown or demo	nstration conducted:	☐ Yes ☑ No. If yes, brief descr me or all of the claims in question.			
xhibit shown or demo	nstration conducted:	☐ Yes ☑ No. If yes, brief descr me or all of the claims in question.	ription:		
Agreement was rea	eched with respect to so	☐ Yes ☑ No. If yes, brief descr me or all of the claims in question.	ription:	hed.	, Sampath etal
Agreement was read w	ached with respect to son all clasms per	☐ Yes 【☑No. If yes, brief descr me or all of the claims in question. ending et al (wo 88/00	ription:	hed. Sferies (370)	, Sampath etal
Agreement was read was demonstrated was read was discussed: when the demonstrated was read was dentification of prior and the demonstrated was was demonstrated was was demonstrated with the demonstrated was dem	ached with respect to sort all clasms point discussed: Wang	Yes \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	(X) was not reac	hed. Sferies (370)	
Agreement was read was read was discussed:	ached with respect to sor all clasms point discussed: Wang 80), Thiele	Yes WNo. If yes, brief described an agreement was reached.	tription:	hed. Sferies (370) mments: Applican	ds presented a
Agreement was read wa	ached with respect to sor all clasms point discussed: Wang 80), Thiele	Yes \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	tription:	hed. Sferies (370) mments: Applican	ds presented a
Agreement was read wa	ached with respect to some all classes, per son the second	Yes WNo. If yes, brief description or all of the claims in question. ending et al (wo \$6/00 et al greed to if an agreement was reached.	d, or any other con	hed. Sferies (370) mments: Applican	ds presented a rejection set ou
Agreement was read wa	ached with respect to some all classes, per son the second	Yes WNo. If yes, brief description or all of the claims in question. ending et al (wo \$6/00 et al greed to if an agreement was reached.	d, or any other con	hed. Sferies (370) mments: Applicantercome the	ds presented a rejection set ou
Agreement was read wa	ached with respect to some all classes, per son the second	Yes WNo. If yes, brief description or all of the claims in question. ending et al (wo \$6/00 et al greed to if an agreement was reached.	d, or any other con	hed. Sferies (370) mments: Applicantercome the	ds presented a rejection set on

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1 – 7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

🔀 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.